UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,479	03/25/2005	Xuanming Shi	88538.0001	4490
26921 7590 96992998 HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS			EXAMINER	
			CHOWDHURY, AFROZA Y	
SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELI	ES, CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/500 479 SHI, XUANMING Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit AFROZA Y. CHOWDHURY 2629 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/25/2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 21.22 and 26-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21.22 and 26-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/500,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment received on April 25th, 2008 has been entered. Claims

21, 22, and 26-32 are currently pending. Applicant's amended claims and arguments

are addressed herein below.

# Specification

 The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

Regarding claim 21, there is no support for "a cable javomg USB joint interface" in the specification as submitted originally.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/500,479

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 21, "... a cable javomg USB joint interface ...", it is not clear.

What is a cable javomg USB joint interface?

### Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim 21 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 7,268,771.
Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from

each other because the concepts of the claims are same.

As to claim 21, an electronic whiteboard having flexible membrane electromagnetic induction generating device, including an electronic whiteboard main unit having a writing layer as surface, a bottom support bracket layer as bottom, an input induction section, a recognition

Application/Control Number: 10/500,479

Art Unit: 2629

controlling circuit, a signal output device inside between the said two layers and a frame around, and also including an input pen, characterized in that: said induction section is composed of a covering layer, an electromagnetic induction generating layer and a bottom support bracket layer, wherein the base layer of the electromagnetic induction generating layer is an insulated flexible membrane which can be a film material, the surfaces of membrane are printed with an electromagnetic induction receiving antenna array which is induction antenna cells distributed along X axis and Y axis, thereby a flexible membrane electromagnetic induction generating layer is constituted, the output of that electromagnetic induction generating layer is connected to the recognition controlling circuit, and the input pen has a radio signal generating device (clim1 in US Patent 7.268.771):

said induction antenna array cells are the cells printed on the two sides of the membrane surface respectivel (clim11 in US Patent 7,268,771).;

more than one laver of induction antenna cells along X axis and Y axis are the cells printed on the two sides of the membrane surface and the layers are insulated from each other(claims 8 and 12 in US Patent 7,268,771).

#### The US Patent 7.268,771 did not specifically states

the intervals between the induction antenna cells of each layer are different for more than one layer of induction antenna cells; and signal output device is a cable connecting device which is a cable USB joint interface or a wireless data communicating device or which is a radio frequency transceiver, and said signal output device is connected to a computer and/or a printer and/or a data storing equipment directly.

However, it is an obvious design choice to make the induction antenna cells of each layer with different intervals when more than one layer of induction antenna cells are present. Also it is obvious to one skill in the art to recognize that any signal output device is a cable connecting device which is a cable USB joint interface or a wireless data communicating device or which is a radio frequency transceiver, and any signal

Application/Control Number: 10/500,479

Art Unit: 2629

output device can be connected to a computer and/or a printer and/or a data storing equipment directly.

### Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed April 25<sup>th</sup>, 2008 have been fully considered but they
  are persuasive. However, Double Patenting Rejection is still valid. A terminal disclaimer
  may be used to overcome the Double Patenting Rejection.
- THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,479 Page 6

Art Unit: 2629

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AFROZA Y. CHOWDHURY whose telephone number is (571)270-1543. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 EST, 5/4/9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AC 6/23/2008

/Bipin Shalwala/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629